

The radius of the electron and why there are only left-handed neutrinos .

In the article about the big bang I have derived a formula in which the radius of a spherical object can be derived with a maximum density. No further contraction can take place. This gives the possibility to calculate the radius of such an object when the mass is known. I am talking about bodies such as a neutron star, a no longer active black hole and elementary particles.

The formula is: $r_0 = (2G/c^2).m_0$, m_0 is the measured mass of the body. $2G/c^2 = 1,48.10^{-27}$

For a neutron star, for example :

Measured mass: $1.5 * \text{solar mass} = 1,5.2.10^{30} = 3.10^{30}$

Measured radius: $9.5 \text{ km} = 9.5 * 10^3 \text{ mtr}$

Check: $r_0 = 3*10^{30}.2,6,7.10^{-11}/(9.10^{16}) = 4,7 * 10^3 \text{ mtr}$, this is awfully close to the measured value.

For a black hole in the centre of our galaxy:

Measured mass = about $4.1 * 10^6$ solar masses = $4.1 * 2 * 10^{36} \text{ kg}$

Calculated radius: $r_0 = 3*10^{30}.2,6,7.10^{-11}/(9.10^{16}) = 4,7 * 10^3 = 12.2 * 10^6 \text{ km}$. More than 24.4 million kilometres in diameter.

For an electron: $m_e = 9,1.10^{-31} \text{ kg}$.

Calculated radius: $r_e = m_e. (2G/c^2) = m_e. 1,48.10^{-27} = 9,1.1,48.10^{-27}.10^{-31} = 1,35.10^{-57} \text{ (m)}$

The resulting density of the electron is enormous:

$$\rho_e = m_e/(r_e)^3.(3/(4\pi)) \approx 0,88*10^{140} \text{ kg/m}^3$$

Remark: much larger than the Planck density of approximately $5,15*10^{96} \text{ kg/m}^3$ (but it is more of a vacuum-wave characteristic, the maximum energy of a vacuum wave).

The electron can thus indeed be regarded as a point mass. An electron neutrino would have an even smaller radius since the rest mass is even smaller.

It is interesting to think about this for a moment. A charged particle has a spin $\frac{1}{2}$. If you relativistically calculate on a sphere, no spin $\frac{1}{2}$ will come out (solid sphere has spin $\frac{5}{4}$) . That works for a hollow sphere, where the mass is then concentrated on the spherical surface. That form of a sphere gives a spin $\frac{1}{2}$ (if you apply the conditions of current quantum mechanics, see also the website www.theorievanalles.nl) .

This also means that a rest mass particle can still be compressed into a more compact particle where the beam eventually becomes: $r_0 = (G/c^2).m_0$. (There is still some space left inside the ball). This then leads to a mini big bang of an electron-positron combination.

In this context it is then important to look at the annihilation of electron and a positron, each other's anti-particles. Because they attract each other, they can merge at the interaction and annihilate each other as is shown by experiments.

The reaction mechanism may be as follows: the two particles melt together and contract together to a radius of $r_0 = (G/c^2).m_0$, where m_0 equal is to 2 times the mass of the participating 2 particles. As soon as r_0 is reached (contraction rate is equal to c), there is a mini Big Bang which then starts the vacuum expansion with a velocity of $\sqrt{3}.c$. When the radius of the mini Big Bang is $2,41.r_0$ (expansion rate is then c) there is formation out of the vacuum energy and through the Higgs mechanism 2 opposite directed photons.

This means that with the creation of a mini-Big Bang, information of the conservation laws prior to the mini-Big Bang is maintained within the vacuum energy sphere and passed on to the 2 created photons.

At the same time, can you wonder what happens when a neutrino and its anti-neutrino collide? Can that also yield a photon pair? I do not think so. Neutrinos are charge-free particles and that info is taken with them if they implode into a big bang. With neutrinos it is only about rest mass, kinetic energy, momentum and direction of rotation. It can at most yield a new neutrino anti-neutrino pair. With the enormously small radius of the neutrinos, the gravitational force is also enormous. However annihilation can only result in a new neutrino anti-neutrino pair. This also solves the puzzle why no right-handed neutrinos are observed: they are there, but we call them anti-neutrinos. In terms of mass there is no difference, the only difference that remains is right-handedness and left-handedness. So one has called a left-hand neutrino matter, and a right-handed neutrino, antimatter. But there is no difference in terms of mass.

It will be different if you add charge to a rest mass particle. Then charge is the difference that distinguishes between matter and antimatter. Then one calls a negatively charged electron neutrino matter, but left or right turning does not matter (a negatively charged anti-electron neutrino is also an electron). The positively charged electron anti-neutrino (which is clockwise) is then called a positron (a positively charged left-rotating electron neutrino is therefore also a positron) and is then anti-matter.

Conclusion: matter is equal to anti-matter in terms of mass. The distinction is mainly made by charge (colour / electric). If there is no charge, the distinction is turning left or right.